

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2014 series

9706 ACCOUNTING

9706/23

Paper 2 (Structured Questions – Core),
maximum raw mark 90

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2014 series for most Cambridge IGCSE®, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

1 (a)

Dr		Cash Account		Cr
		\$		\$
Bal b/d	(1)	3 270	Van rental	2 400 }
			Drivers wages	4 748 }
Receipts customers	(1OF)	35 680	Rent for garage	1 600 } (1) for all 3
Van disposal	(1)	1 300	Cash stolen	430 (1)
			Sundry expenses	2 972
			Drawings	11 450
			Fuel expenses	14 301 (1)
			Bal c/d	<u>2 349</u>
		<u>40 250</u>		<u>40 250</u>
Bal b/d	(1OF)	2 349		

[7]

(b) Calculations for revenue figure for the year ended 30 June 2014

	\$	
Cash received from Trade debtors	35 680	(1)
Add debtors at 30 June 2012	2 863	(1)
Add bad debts written-off	<u>1 648</u>	(1)
	40 191	
Less debtors at 1st July 2011	<u>3 766</u>	(1)
Sales	<u>36 425</u>	(1) (OF)

[5]

(c)

Asif Income Statement
Year ended 30 June 2014

	\$	\$	
Sales (from part b)		36 425	(1OF)
Less expenses			
Cash stolen	430		(1)
Van rental	2 400		
Wages (4 748(1) + 200 (1))	4 948		(2)
Rental of garage (1 600(1) – 400(1))	1 200		(2)
Sundry expenses	2 972		
Loss on disposal (6 200(1) – 4 650(1) – 1 300(1))	250		(3)
Fuel expenses	14 301		(1)
Bad debts	<u>1 648</u>		(1)
		<u>28 149</u>	
Profit for the year (must be labelled)		<u>8 276</u>	(1OF)

[12]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus
	Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2014	970

- (d) Improved cash flow (1 + 1 for development)
Reduction in bad debts (1 + 1 for development)

- (e) Net profit margin (1)
Return on capital employed (1)
Expenses: revenue (1)
Max 2

[2]

[Total: 30]

2 (a)

Lance
Statement of financial position at 30 November 2014

	\$000	\$000	\$000
Non-current assets at cost			500 (1)
Accumulated depreciation			<u>(200)</u>
			300 (1)
Current assets			
Inventory		80	
Trade receivables		50	
Cash		<u>10</u>	
		140 (1)	
Current liabilities			
Trade payables	35		
Other payables	20		
Bank overdraft	<u>25</u>	<u>80</u> (1)	60 (10F)
Non-current liabilities			
Long term loan			<u>(40)</u> (1)
			<u>320</u>
Financed by:			
Opening capital			310
Add: net profit			<u>30</u> (1)
			340
Less: drawings			<u>(20)</u> (1)
			<u>320</u>

Alternative presentation (IAS format) accepted

Lance
Statement of financial position at 30 November 2014

	\$000	\$000
Non-current assets	500 (1)	
Accumulated depreciation	<u>200</u>	300 (1)
Current assets		
Inventory	80	
Trade receivables	50	
Cash	<u>10</u>	<u>140</u> (1)
Total assets		<u>440</u>
Capital account		
Opening capital	310	
Add: net profit	<u>30</u> (1)	
	340	
Less: drawings	<u>20</u> (1)	320
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term loan		40 (1)
Current liabilities		
Trade payables	35	
Other payables	20	
Bank overdraft	<u>25</u>	<u>80</u> (1)
Total capital and liabilities		<u>440</u> (1)

[8]

(b)

Ratio	Formula	Calculation
Current	Current assets / current liabilities (1)	140 / 80 = 1.75:1 (10F)
Liquid (acid test)	(Current assets – inventory) / Current liabilities (1)	(140 – 80) / 80 = 0.75:1 (10F)

[4]

- (c) Current ratio improved in 2013 (1) but became worse in 2014 (1). This should be a concern to Lance as it may indicate worsening liquidity (1), especially with the bank overdraft (1).

This is shown by the liquid (acid test) ratio which has worsened each year (1). Lance has a large amount of inventory which indicates cash may be tied up (1). Lance may have difficulty paying the interest on the loan, overdraft. (1) and suppliers (1).

[8]

(d) Cash budget for the month of December

Receipts	\$		
Loan	25 000	(1)	
Cash sales (75 000 (1) / 3 (1))	22 500	(2)	
Received from trade receivables	<u>50 000</u>	(1)	
	<u>97 500</u>		
Payments			
Other expenses	12 500		
Cash purchases	18 000	(1)	
Payments to trade payables	35 000	(2)	
Loan interest	<u>125</u>	(1)	
	<u>65 625</u>		
Net cash in/outflow	31 875		
Opening balance	<u>(15 000)</u>	(1)	
Closing balance	<u>16 875</u>	(1)OF	[10]

[Total: 30]

3 (a) Contribution = £17.00 – (\$4.50 + \$6.00 + \$2.50) = \$4.00

Fixed costs = \$324 000 / 12 = \$27 000 per month.

Breakeven = \$27 000 (1) / \$4.00 (1) = 6750 units [2]

(b) Absorption costing working:

Unit cost = \$4.50 + \$6.00 + \$2.50 + \$(27 000 / 10 000) = \$15.70

	Jan		Feb	
	\$		\$	
Sales (@ \$17)	119 000		221 000	
COGS (@ \$15.70)	<u>109 900</u>	(1)	<u>204 100</u>	(1)
Profit	<u>9 100</u>	(1)	<u>16 900</u>	(1)

[4]

(c) Marginal costing

	Jan		Feb	
	\$		\$	
Sales	119 000		221 000	
Variable costs (@ \$13)	<u>91 000</u>		<u>169 000</u>	
Contribution	28 000	(1OF)	52 000	(1OF)
Fixed costs	<u>27 000</u>		<u>27 000</u>	
Profit	<u>1 000</u>	(1OF)	<u>25 000</u>	(2OF)

[4]

(d) Reconciliation

	Jan	Feb	
	\$	\$	
Absorption costing profit	9 100	16 900	
(Inc) / Dec in inventories			
(3 000 @ \$2.70)	<u>(8 100)</u> (10F)		
3 000 @ \$2.70		<u>8 100</u> (10F)	
Marginal costing profit	<u>1 000</u> (10F)	<u>25 000</u> (10F)	[4]

(e) Absorption costing will produce a different profit figure to marginal costing whenever opening and closing inventory differ. (1)

Absorption costing values inventory at total production cost including a portion of fixed costs. (1)

Marginal costing values inventory at variable cost only, treating fixed costs as period costs. (1)

When closing inventory is higher than opening inventory, absorption costing will produce the higher profit. (1) When closing inventory is lower than opening inventory, marginal costing will produce the higher profit. (1) (Max 4) [4]

(f) Working:

Fixed cost = (\$324 000 + \$60 000) / 12 = \$32 000 pm / 11 000 units = \$2.91 (10F)

Total unit cost = \$2.91 + \$13.00 (1) = \$15.91 (10F)

Sales (\$17 × 7 700)	130 900	(1)	
Cost of sales (\$15.91 (3) × 7 700)	<u>122 507</u>		
Profit	<u>8 393</u>	(10F)	[5]

(g) Situations where marginal costing is useful:

- 1 Make or buy decisions. (1)
- 2 Product mix in limiting factor decisions. (1)
- 3 Whether to discontinue a product. (1)
- 4 Acceptance of special orders. (1)

Max 3 marks

[3]

(h) Marginal costing should only be used for short term decision making (1)

However, it is necessary to split all costs into fixed and variable (1) which may be difficult (1)

Difficult to use if more than one product is sold (1) as it is difficult to split fixed overheads over several products (1)

Max 4 marks

[4]

[Total: 30]